14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 MARK GURVIS, 7 Plaintiff(s), No. C-11-00875 EDL 8 NOTICE OF IMPENDING v. REASSIGNMENT TO A UNITED 9 STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 10 MESSAGE SYSTEMS INC, 11 Defendant(s). 12 13

The Clerk of this Court will now randomly reassign this case to a United States District Judge because either:

- XX (1) One or more of the parties has requested reassignment to a United States District Judge or has not consented to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge, or
- (2) One or more of the parties has sought a type of judicial action (e.g., a temporary restraining order) that a United States Magistrate Judge may not take without the consent of all parties, the necessary consents have not been secured, and time is of the essence.

The motion hearing noticed for April 19, 2011 at 9:00 a.m. and the Case Management Conference previously scheduled for June 7, 2011, at 3:00 p.m. on Magistrate Judge Laporte's calendar will NOT be held.

24 Dated: March 2, 2011

> By: Lili M. Har Deputy Clerk

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

United States District Court